

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/445,827	08/01/2000	Masaaki Aoki	866/72191-2	1423
25269 75	590 05/28/2003			
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST 1300 I STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/445,827** 

Applicant(s)

Aoki et al.

Examiner

Lincoln Donovan

Art Unit 2832



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address			
	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE	_3	_ MONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th						
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6)	MONTHS f	from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Апу ге	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the						
<sub>earned</sub> Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			·			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final	1.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>		<del></del>	is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		•	·			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗔	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers						
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be he	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a)□ ε	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			;			
13)💢	13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🖟	☑ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d in Apr	olication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea			eceived in this National Stage			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copi	es not re	eceived.			
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).			
a) [							
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		🗀 🛕	(DT.				
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)			O-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2  6) Other:							
3) [X] IIII	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s),	6) U Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/445,827 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicant must provide a section under "related cases" listing appropriate data regarding copending cases and PCTs.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, lines 8-9, applicant should clarify the specific structure intended by the "laminating blocks being laminated in the facing direction of the pole pieces." The specific intended orientation of the laminates is unclear.

Regarding claim 7, applicant should clarify the arrangement and structure of the silicon sheets fixed and supported by a non-magnetic lamina.

Regarding claim 10, there is no antecedent basis for "the ratio Sb/Sa."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/445,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

5.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that

the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The prior art

shown in figure 12a of Sakurai et al. [US 5,283,544] in view of Sakurai et al. The prior art shown

in figure 1 of Sakurai et al. disclose a magnetic field generating device [figure 12a] having a pair of

pole pieces [2] facing each other so as to form an air gap [4] and generating a magnetic field therein.

The prior art shown in figure 12a of Sakurai et al. disclose the instant claimed invention

except for: the specific design of the pole pieces.

Sakurai et al. discloes a pole piece main component formed from a plurality of laminated

blocks [13, figure 2] comprising silicon sheets [see abstract], a magnetic annular profusion [12]

disposed on the side of the main component and wherein the silicon sheets in are laminated toward

the outer face of the pole piece and divided into a plurality of sections in the circumferential direction

[figure 1b].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to use the pole piece design of Sakurai et al. in the prior art shown in figure 12a of Sakurai et

al., for the purpose of reducing the formation of eddy currents and residual magnetism.

Art Unit: 2832

The particular thickness of the laminae would have been an obvious design consideration based on the desired field strength.

Regarding claim 4, Sakurai et al. discloses the silicon steel sheets being divided into a plurality of sections in the circumferential direction [figure 1b].

6. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art shown in figure 1 of Sakurai et al. in view of Sakurai et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Laskaris et al. [US 5,874,880].

The prior art shown in figure 1 of Sakurai et al., as modified, disclose the instant claimed invention except for the laminated silicon sheets supported by a non-magnetic support member with high electrical resistance.

Laskaris et al. disclose a pole piece used in an MRI apparatus having laminated silicon sheets supported by a non-magnetic support member [48] with high electrical resistance.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the laminated silicon sheets of the prior art shown in figure 1 of Sakurai et al., as modified, be supported by a low-magnetic support member with high electrical resistance, as suggested by Laskaris et al., for the purpose of preventing undesired effects on the gradient magnetic field.

7. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art shown in figure 1 of Sakurai et al. in view of Sakurai et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Miyamoto et al. [US 4,818,966].

Art Unit: 2832

The prior art shown in figure 1 of Sakurai et al., as modified, disclose the instant claimed

invention except for: the protrusion being formed of laminated sheets, the particular ratios of material

used and a permanent magnet support structure.

Miyamoto et al. discloses a magnetic field generating device [figure 6] having a pole member

supported about laminated protrusions [60c] mounted on a permanent magnet structure [61].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to use the mounting design of Miyamoto et al. for the prior art shown in figure 1 of Sakurai et

al. in view of Sakurai et al., as suggested by Miyamoto et al., for the purpose of enhancing field

uniformity and improving magnetic field intensity.

The particular ratio between the surface area and the sides of the protrusion would have been

an obvious design consideration based on the specific material used for the field generator, desired

field strength and specific application.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

LDD

May 22, 2003